

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,056	12/12/2003	Ivette Catan-Helfend	51344/DRK/L486	2486	
23363 7:	590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			OLSZEWSKI, JOAN M		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,056	CATAN-HELFEND, IVETTE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joan M. Olszewski	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she it with the c	orr spond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 D	ecember 2003.					
· ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/a	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	ted to by the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati Inity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: "14a,14b,14c" on page 3, line 6; "girl 38" on page 4, line 11; "girl 42" on page 4, lines 17-18; and "head 64" on page 4, line 33. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "32" has been used to designate both "link 32" on page 4, line 10 and "head portion 32" on page 4, line 11; the reference character "34" has been used to designate both "link 34" on page 4, line 10 and "torso portion 34" on page 4, line 10; reference character "36" has been used to designate both "link 36" on page 4, line 10 and "leg portion 36" on page 4, line 11; and reference character "44" has been used to designate both "link 44" on page 4, line 20 and "torso portion 44" on page 5, line 6. Corrected drawing

Art Unit: 3677

sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Further, Applicant is required to carefully review the entire specification for errors of the type mentioned above and correct all locations in the specification so that the reference character and the term to describe that reference character is consistent throughout.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 16 "reflects" should be -- reflect -- ; on page 1, line 21, the second occurrence of "that" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, the word "show " should be -- shown --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Further, the disclosure does not include a listing of the brief description for Figures 11A and 11B. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3677

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this .Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Strong (US Patent 4,763,489).

Regarding Claims 1 and 7, Strong discloses a link set for bracelets (Figure 6) comprising: a plurality of interconnectable detachably attachable links, with each link bearing a portion of a multipart design that can be modified by changing at least one of the plurality of links to thereby change the appearance of the multipart design (abstract) and (column 1, lines 33-36) and wherein the link set is connectable to other links that do not form part of the link set since Strong indicates that links may be removed and as such would create a set of used and unused links.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3677

Claim 2-6 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strong in view of Przybyla (US Patent 1,371,932).

Regarding Claims 2-6 and 8-13, Strong discloses all the claimed features as discussed in the rejection above except for the plurality of interconnectable links specifically comprising a link bearing an image of a person's head, a link bearing an image of a person's torso and a link bearing an image of a person's legs. However, Przybyla teaches the various elements forming the body of an animal. Further, the actual shape formed is strictly a design choice having no limit on the structure of the device and as such considered obvious. Further, Strong recognized the rearrangement of the link elements in order to provide a desired appearance and to form the various components as a kit would be obvious since this is well known in the jewelry art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Strong by utilizing the concept of various elements forming the body of an animal as taught by Przybyla in order to allow for various desired configurations. Further, to rearrange the components for various desired appearance from a kit providing these options would be obvious since this sort of option is old and well known in the jewelry art.

Further, re-claims 8 and 13, Strong as modified discloses wherein a torso portion has an attachment (14) which could be used for detachably attaching accessory pieces.

Art Unit: 3677

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kelley (469,449), Saart (784,891), Richards (1,169,999), Sweeney (1,797,968), Bellavance (1,830,187), Kestenman (1,943,733), Mantz (2,169,265), Bradley (2,180,726), Chernow (2,224,721), Augenstein (2,889,681), Monderer et al. (5,022,237), Straight (5,341,634), Skiles (D463,308) and Skiles (D475,316).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joan M. Olszewski whose telephone number is 703-305-2693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (5:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joan M. Olszewski Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

JMO

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER